

The National Intelligence

APPROVED FOR RELEASE DATE: MAY 2004

Copy No.

TCS 709/78

SOUTH KOREA

Nuclear Weapons Discussion

Recent public discussion of the nucleas weapons intition in South Korea appears primarily designed by the government to reassure the people that it is examining all means of strengthening the country's defenses following a US froop withdrawal. We do not brilled it reflects now research efforts in direct import of developing nuclear weapons.

Public discussion of the nuclear option ince early 1977 has been tied clearly to

Public discussion of IRF nuclear option since early 1977 has been tiled clearly to the US/Irtop withdrawal issue. The first official remark of any note was made in May 1977 when Korean-US negotiations opened or the terms of the withdrawal. The government since the his been tolerant of nonofficial debate on the issue. tolerant of honotificial densite on the issue.

In fact, the early official statements were probably perceived by the press and the academic community to signal the end of a tabod that had existed for several years on open treatment of the nuclear weapons.

Moal South Roreans view the US nuclear umbretla as an important ideterrent to war on the peniasula; they tend to assume that their own country should develop nuclear weapons to offset

any weakening of the US security com-nition.

By allowing or even encouraging the nuclear weapons debate, the government, may believe it can convince the public that

may believe it can convince the public that, it is taking all necessary precautions to strengthen national defenses. There are not doubt also some members of the South Korean flovenment who believe that this threat of "going nuclear" can be used as a lever against the US.

From the outset, South Korean officials have hoped that the cutrent withdrawal plan will be sorapped or significantly delayed, and they may be reasoning that now—when the pace of withdrawal has been slowed—the time is right to remind the US of the possible unpleasant consequences of reduced support;

There is no evidence that the cutrent public discussion of the nuclear option reflects a deepening government committent to acquire nuclear weapons; nor at there signs of new research and develop-

there signs of new research and develop-ment activity in direct support of such a goal. Were Seoul to reactivate a clandestine research program, it would be unlikely to try to advertise that fact